Faculty - Chair Evaluation Survey

Five Year Review of Professor [Name] Chair, Department of [Name of Department]

This survey utilizes (primarily) a seven-point scale. A rating of 4 serves as an anchor point, indicating that Professor [name] has performed his/her duties on the characteristic being rated in an acceptable fashion. Other ratings indicate:

- 7 outstanding performance
- 6 very good performance
- 5 good performance (better than acceptable)
- 4 acceptable performance
- 3 –some minor deficiencies
- 2 more than a few minor deficiencies
- 1 poor performance (serious deficiencies)

N/R – not rated – for use in those instances when you are unable to make a judgment (for example, due to lack of information on that topic)

Please circle your rating for each of the following questions

Leadership

1. How effective is Professor [name] in providing for faculty development (including: providing matching funds for grant proposals on an equitable basis; facilitating inter-departmental or multi-investigator proposals; appropriate handling of sabbatical applications)?

Comments:

N/R 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

2. How effective is Professor [name] in guiding faculty hiring (including: establishing searches to meet departmental goals; bringing top candidates to the faculty; establishing adequate start-up packages for new faculty)?

Comments:

N/R 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

3. How effect allocation of							nt in esta	blishing pr	forities for the	
Com	ments:									
	N/R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
4. How effective is Professor [name] in ensuring that new faculty are suitably mentored?										
Com	ments:									
	N/R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
5. How effective is Professor [name] in creating and maintaining an appropriate intellectual environment in the Department?										
Com	ments:									
	N/R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
6. How effect faculty, indiv									encouraging	
Com	ments:									
	N/R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
	7. How effective is Professor [name] in directing facilities (e.g., hardware and peripherals) acquisition and maintenance?									
Com	ments:									
	N/R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		

	8. How effecti	ve is Pro	ofessor	[name]	in gener	ating su _l	pport am	ong fact	ılty for de	partmental g	goals?
	Comn	nents:									
		N/R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
	9. How effecti departments in				in encou	ıraging a	and estab	olishing i	nteraction	s with other	•
	Comn	nents:									
		N/R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
	10. To substant		41	1-:-1	:£:1 1-	dh a Da		مدموا ع	- C		_
	10. To what exachieved by Pr					y the De	epartmen	it been a	ennea, pia	anned for, of	r
	Comn	nents:									
		N/R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
De	cision Maki	ng									
	11. How effect adequate opportes responsibility?	rtunity f									s)
	Comn	nents:									
		NI/D	1	2	2	4	~		7		
		N/R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		

12. How effective is	Professo	or [name] in mak	ing unpl	leasant b	out necess	sary decisi	ons?		
Comments:										
NI/D	1	2	2	4	_		7			
N/K	1	2	3	4	3	6	7			
13. Are Professor [na	ame]'s d	ecisions	generall	y seen a	s fair an	d proper	ones?			
Comments:										
N/R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7			
Departmental Affair	rs									
14. How effective is	Professo	or [name] in max	imizing	program	n effectiv	eness with	the resou	ırces avail	able?
Comments:										
N/R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7			
15. How effective is	Professo	or [name] in impi	roving th	ne qualit	y of teac	hing in the	e Departm	ent?	
Comments:										

16. How effect	ive is Pi	rofesso	r [name] in the ı	ise of de	epartmen	tal discr	etionary fun	ds?
Comm	ents:								
	N/R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
17 How offoot	ivo is D	rofosso	r [nama	lin angu	uring tha	61100066	of the w	ndararaduat	o and graduate pro
Comm		roiesso	r (name	j in ensu	iring the	success	or the u	ndergraduai	e and graduate prog
Collin	ents.								
	N/R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
18. How effect	ive is Pı	rofesso	r [name] in allo	cating re	search/o	ffice spa	ice?	
Comm	ents:								
	N/R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
19. How effect	ive ic D	rofesso	ır [name	l in lead	ing the g	sala ri ed s	and profe	essional staf	f (including
19. HOW CHECK								out filling p	

N/R 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

	20. How effects workload amor			[name] i	n establi	shing an	equitab	le and e	ffective distribut	tion of the
	Commo	ents:								
		N/R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	21. What is you	ır rating	of the s	tate of fa	aculty m	orale?				
	Comm	ents:								
		Poor		Fair		Good		Very (Good	Excellent
Co	mmunication	ı								
	22. How effects	ive is Pr	ofessor	[name] i	n comm	unicating	g with ot	thers in	the Department?	•
	Comme	ents:								
		N/R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	23. How effects administration?		ofessor	[name] a	s the De	partmen	t represe	entative	to the University	ý
	Commo	ents:								
		N/R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
		- "	-	_	-	-	-	~	•	

24. How effe organizations						ent repre	esentativ	e to outsid	de profess	sional
Com	ments:									
	N/R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
Overall Evalu	ation									
25. How wou	ıld you ra	ite Prof	essor [na	ame]'s o	verall ef	fectivene	ess as C	hair over t	he past 4	+ years?
Com	ments:									
	N/R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
26. What are general and t				e next fi	ve years	that are	likely to	o face the	Departme	ent in
27. How wou	ıld you ra	ite Prof	essor [na	ame]'s a	bility to	face the	se challe	enges?		
Com	ments:									
	N/R	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		
	1 1/ 11	1	2	J	7	3	U	,		

28. Would you recommend that	Professor [name] by re	appointed as Cha	ir?
Comments:			
Yes	No		
Information about You			
Please indicate (write in) you Assistant Professor, Associate	1 .		
Your Role			
Please indicate (circle) your oas Chair.	verall level of familian	rity with Profess	or [name]'s performance
Unfamiliar	Familiar	Highly Famili	ar
1 2	3	4	5
You are encouraged to prove comments that may not fit in			